

TABLE 16.-- CROSS-COUNTRY CORRELATIONS OF DETRENDED GNP

	JA	FR	UK	IT	CA
(a) Cross-country Correlations of Quarterly Growth Rates of GNP.					
US	.44 (.22 .62)	.29 (.01 .53)	.16 (-.02 .33)	.24 (.01 .45)	.47 (.21 .67)
JA		.37 (.21 .50)	.28 (-.04 .55)	.04 (-.18 .25)	.29 (.17 .40)
FR			.24 (.00 .45)	.56 (.33 .73)	.22 (-.04 .44)
UK				.03 (-.18 .24)	.18 (-.14 .47)
IT					.15 (-.10 .39)

(b) Cross-country Correlations of Linearly Detrended Logs of Quarterly GNP.

US	.54 (.28 .72)	.25 (-.23 .64)	.71 (.58 .81)	.29 (.01 .53)	.60 (.22 .83)
JA		.14 (-.07 .33)	.36 (.07 .59)	.15 (-.14 .42)	.07 (-.22 .35)
FR			.21 (-.27 .61)	.83 (.73 .89)	.61 (.12 .86)
UK				.13 (-.20 .44)	.55 (.28 .74)
IT					.56 (.32 .73)

NOTE: The figures in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals (based on estimates of the standard errors of the sample correlation coefficients which were obtained using the method of Newey & West (1987), allowing for 10 autocorrelations). Sample period is 1971:I-1988:I (1971:II-1988:I for statistics based on growth rates).

TABLE 17.-- CROSS-COUNTRY CORRELATIONS OF DETRENDED GROSS FIXED CAPITAL FORMATION

	JA	FR	UK	IT	CA
(a) Cross-country Correlations of Quarterly Growth Rates of Gross Fixed Capital Formation.					
US	.27 (.05 .47)	.16 (-.12 .43)	.12 (-.06 .31)	-.03 (-.28 .21)	.12 (-.25 .47)
JA		.46 (.29 .60)	.42 (.21 .60)	.49 (.31 .63)	.15 (-.03 .33)
FR			.39 (.05 .65)	.31 (.19 .42)	.35 (.18 .50)
UK				.09 (-.11 .28)	.11 (-.09 .32)
IT					.29 (.05 .49)

(b) Cross-country Correlations of Linearly Detrended Logs of Quarterly Gross Fixed Capital Formation.

US	.43 (.09 .68)	.26 (-.20 .63)	.41 (.00 .70)	.64 (.38 .80)	-.00 (-.49 .48)
JA		.57 (.13 .82)	.72 (.48 .86)	.45 (.03 .74)	.07 (-.31 .45)
FR			.74 (.46 .88)	.37 (-.08 .70)	.67 (.43 .82)
UK				.39 (-.09 .73)	.33 (.00 .60)
IT					.25 (-.32 .70)

NOTE: The figures in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals (based on estimates of the standard errors of the sample correlation coefficients which were obtained using the method of Newey & West (1987), allowing for 10 autocorrelations). Sample period is 1971:I-1988:I (1971:II-1988:I for statistics based on growth rates).

TABLE 18.-- WITHIN-COUNTRY CORRELATIONS OF DETRENDED TOTAL PRIVATE CONSUMPTION AND EMPLOYMENT

(a) Within-country Correlations Between Detrended Citibase/ILO Hours Measure and Total Private Consumption.

	growth rates	linearly detrended logs
US	.43 (.21 .60)	.69 (.47 .82)
JA	.36 (.04 .62)	-.15 (-.59 .36)
FR	.01 (-.19 .22)	-.42 (-.65 -.11)

(b) Within-country Correlations Between Employment Measure from International Financial Statistics (IFS) and Total Private Consumption.

	growth rates	linearly detrended logs
US	.38 (.19 .55)	.56 (.21 .78)
JA	.01 (-.23 .25)	-.45 (-.79 .08)
FR	.03 (-.20 .25)	.28 (-.07 .57)
IT	.12 (-.02 .27)	.70 (.42 .86)
CA	.20 (-.05 .43)	.70 (.54 .82)

NOTE: The figures in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals (based on estimates of the standard errors of the sample correlation coefficients which were obtained using the method of Newey & West (1987), allowing for 10 autocorrelations). Sample period is 1971:I-1988:I (1971:II-1988:I for statistics based on growth rates).

TABLE 19.-- CROSS-COUNTRY CORRELATIONS OF DETRENDED SOLOW RESIDUALS

(a) Cross-country Correlations of Growth Rates of Solow Residuals, Constructed Using Citibase/ILO Hours Data.

	JA	FR
US	.35 (.00)	.08 (.16)
JA		.19 (.00)

(b) Cross-country Correlations of Linearly Detrended Log Solow Residuals, Constructed Using Citibase/ILO Hours Data.

JA		.19 (.00)
US	JA .54 (.00)	FR -.18 (.79)
JA		-.12 (.86)

(c) Cross-country Correlations of Growth Rates of Solow Residuals, Constructed Using IFS Employment Measure.

	JA	FR	IT	CA
US	.30 (.00)	.30 (.00)	.21 (.01)	.11 (.13)
JA		.32 (.00)	-.01 (.57)	-.02 (.60)
FR			.48 (.00)	.04 (.32)
IT				.26 (.05)

TABLE 19-- continued

(d) Cross-country Correlations of Linearly Detrended Log Solow Residuals, Constructed Using IFS Employment Measure.

	JA	FR	IT	CA
US	.16 (.24)	.26 (.02)	.45 (.00)	.60 (.00)
JA		.52 (.00)	.18 (.06)	-.20 (.88)
FR			.56 (.00)	-.13 (.88)
IT				.17 (.14)

NOTE: The figures in parentheses are p-value for one-sided test of null hypothesis that cross-country correlation is zero (the p-values are based on estimates of the standard errors of the sample correlation coefficients which were obtained using the method of Newey & West (1987), allowing for 10 autocorrelations). Sample period is 1971:I-1988:I (1971:II-1988:I for statistics based on growth rates).

Solow residual (S): $\ln(S) = \ln(\text{GNP}) - \eta * \ln(K) - (1 - \eta) * \ln(L)$.
 K: capital stock. L: hours. $\eta = 0.35$ used in this table.

TABLE 20. TRIVARIATE AR(1) MODELS FITTED TO DETRENDED SOLOW RESIDUALS IN THE US, JAPAN AND FRANCE

(a) Trivariate AR(1) Models Fitted to Growth Rates of Solow Residuals.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{RHO=} \\ \text{V=} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0.13 & -0.17 \\ (0.47) & (1.87) & (-1.35) \\ \\ 0.08 & 0.26 & -0.14 \\ (0.60) & (1.44) & (-0.56) \\ \\ -0.05 & 0.06 & -0.01 \\ (-0.47) & (0.75) & (-0.11) \\ \\ 5.07 \times 10^{-5} & 2.13 \times 10^{-5} & 0.34 \times 10^{-5} \\ (6.56) & (1.83) & (0.74) \\ \\ & 8.29 \times 10^{-5} & 1.19 \times 10^{-5} \\ & (2.40) & (3.04) \\ \\ & & 4.40 \times 10^{-5} \\ & & (9.67) \end{bmatrix} .$$

(b) Trivariate AR(1) Models Fitted to Linearly Detrended Logs of Solow Residuals.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{RHO=} \\ \text{V=} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 0.85 & 0.04 & -0.11 \\ (13.15) & (0.53) & (-1.98) \\ \\ 0.03 & 0.83 & -0.16 \\ (0.58) & (9.06) & (-3.02) \\ \\ 0.04 & 0.01 & 0.90 \\ (0.82) & (0.36) & (20.52) \\ \\ 4.74 \times 10^{-5} & 2.03 \times 10^{-5} & 0.30 \times 10^{-5} \\ (6.59) & (1.67) & (0.71) \\ \\ & 7.72 \times 10^{-5} & 0.95 \times 10^{-5} \\ & (2.05) & (2.13) \\ \\ & & 4.00 \times 10^{-5} \\ & & (11.06) \end{bmatrix} .$$

TABLE 20.-- continued

NOTE:

Sample period is 1971:II-1988:I (1971:III-1988:I for statistics based on growth rates).

The Solow residuals used for this table were constructed using the hours Citibase/ILO hours data, assuming an elasticity of output with respect to capital of $\eta=0.35$.

Let $X_t = (x_t^{US}, x_t^{JA}, x_t^{FR})'$ be the vector of detrended Solow residuals in the US, Japan and France.

The following model is estimated:

$$X_t = \text{RHO} * X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where RHO is a 3x3 matrix and ϵ_t is a random variable of dimension 3x1 with covariance matrix $V = E\eta * \eta'$.

The tables report estimates of RHO (obtained using OLS for each equation in (A.2)) and of the covariance matrix of ϵ_t . t-statistics for these estimates are in parentheses.

As first differences of log Solow residuals have non-zero means in the data, (A.2) was estimated using deviations of the first differences from their respective sample means.

The adjusted R^2 coefficients for the AR(1) model fitted to growth rates of Solow residuals are 0.01, 0.05 and -0.02 in the first through third equations of the model and the Box-Pierce Q statistics (with 25 degrees of freedom) for these equations have the following p-values: 0.99, 0.98 and 0.84.

For the AR(1) model fitted to linearly detrended log Solow residuals, the corresponding R^2 statistics are 0.79, 0.73 and 0.82 respectively and the Box-Pierce Q statistics have the following p-values: 0.99, 0.94 and 0.79.

TABLE 21.-- NOTATION FOR TABLES WITH SIMULATION RESULTS

$$q_t^i = \theta_t^i * (K_t^i)^\eta * (L_t^i)^{1-\eta};$$

g_t^i : additive shock;

$$y_t^i = q_t^i - g_t^i;$$

c_t^i : consumption of country 'i' with incomplete asset markets;

\bar{c}_t^i : consumption of country 'i' in presence of complete asset markets;

V_t^i : expected life-time utility of country i;

I_t^i : gross investment in country 'i';

TB_t^i : trade balance of country i (incomplete asset markets).

$\beta(u)$: β evaluated at steady state value of period utility function.

$(\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta)$: elasticity of β with respect to instantaneous utility,
evaluated at the steady state;

d : depreciation rate of capital stock;

γ : g/y (g : steady state value of additive shock);

RHO_θ : autocorrelation matrix of multiplicative technology shocks;

RHO_g : autocorrelation matrix of additive shocks;

V_θ : covariance matrix of innovations to multiplicative technology shocks;

V_g : covariance matrix of innovations to additive shocks.

TABLE 22.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, MULTIPLICATIVE TECHNOLOGY SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN THE INTERTEMPORAL ELASTICITY OF SUBSTITUTION

	$\sigma=2$	$\sigma=3$	$\sigma=5$	$\sigma=7$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.37	0.22	0.19	0.19
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.29	0.17	0.14	0.13
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	2.42	2.67	2.73	2.74
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.21	0.20	0.03	-0.07
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.66	-0.72	-0.77	-0.80
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.21
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.69

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.1; \quad \beta(u^i) = 0.99; \quad \eta = 0.35; \\ \gamma = 0.0; \quad d = 0.025.$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard deviation of output (y).
Corr: correlation.

TABLE 23.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, MULTIPLICATIVE TECHNOLOGY SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN THE STEADY STATE RATE OF TIME PREFERENCE

	$\beta=0.93$	$\beta=0.95$	$\beta=0.97$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.41	0.37	0.34
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.29	0.27	0.25
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	6.65	5.30	3.91
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.05	0.09	0.13
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.69	-0.68	-0.68
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	0.01	0.00	0.00
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	0.65	0.66	0.67

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.10; \quad \sigma=2; \quad \eta=0.35; \quad d=0.025. \\ \gamma=0.0;$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard dev. of y.
Corr: correlation.

TABLE 24.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, MULTIPLICATIVE TECHNOLOGY SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN SERIAL CORRELATION OF SHOCKS

	$p_\theta = -0.25$	$p_\theta = -0.50$	$p_\theta = -0.90$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.45	0.55	0.94
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.34	0.41	0.60
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	13.03	19.72	13.40
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.16	0.11	-0.17
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.55	-0.23	0.83
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	-0.02	-0.11	-0.24
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	-0.93	-0.97	-0.97
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	-0.26	-0.14	-0.04

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} p_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & p_\theta \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.10; \quad \beta(u) = .99; \quad \sigma = 2; \quad \eta = 0.35. \\ \gamma = 0.0; \quad d = 0.025.$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard deviation of output (y).
Corr: correlation.

TABLE 25.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, ADDITIVE SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN THE INTERTEMPORAL ELASTICITY OF SUBSTITUTION

	$\sigma=2$	$\sigma=3$	$\sigma=5$	$\sigma=7$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.30	0.22	0.19	0.19
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.29	0.17	0.14	0.13
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	2.42	2.67	2.73	2.74
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.21	0.20	0.03	-0.07
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.66	-0.72	-0.77	-0.80
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	0.51	0.60	0.01	0.02
$\text{corr}(q_t^i, q_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.69

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.10; \quad \beta(u) = 0.99; \quad \eta = 0.35; \\ \gamma = 0.0; \quad d = 0.025.$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard dev. of y.
Corr: Correlation.

TABLE 26.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, ADDITIVE SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN THE STEADY STATE RATE OF TIME PREFERENCE

	$\beta=0.93$	$\beta=0.95$	$\beta=0.97$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.41	0.37	0.34
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.29	0.27	0.28
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	6.65	5.30	3.91
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.05	0.09	0.13
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.69	-0.68	-0.68
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	0.01	0.00	0.00
$\text{corr}(q_t^i, q_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	0.65	0.66	0.67

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.10; \quad \sigma=2; \quad \eta=0.35; \quad d=0.025. \\ \gamma=0.0;$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard dev. of y.
Corr: Correlation.

TABLE 27.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: FIXED LABOR SUPPLIES, ADDITIVE SHOCKS. VARIATIONS IN SERIAL CORRELATION OF SHOCKS

	$p_g = -0.25$	$p_g = -0.50$	$p_g = -0.90$
$\text{std}(c_t^i)$	0.47	0.62	1.32
$\text{std}(\bar{c}_t^i)$	0.37	0.48	0.94
$\text{std}(I_t^i)$	2.19	1.86	0.00
$\text{corr}(c_t^i, c_t^j)$	0.20	0.19	0.00
$\text{corr}(v_t^i, v_t^j)$	-0.66	-0.64	-0.56
$\text{corr}(y_t^i, y_t^j)$	0.01	0.02	0.00
$\text{corr}(q_t^i, q_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(I_t^i, I_t^j)$	1.00	1.00	1.00
$\text{corr}(TB_t^i, y_t^i)$	0.66	0.63	0.45

NOTE:

$$\text{RHO}_g = \begin{bmatrix} p_g & 0 \\ 0 & p_g \end{bmatrix}; \quad v_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\partial\beta/\partial u) * (u/\beta) = -0.10; \quad \beta(u) = 0.99; \quad \sigma = 2; \\ \gamma = 0.0; \quad \eta = 0.35; \quad d = 0.025.$$

Standard deviations are relative to standard dev. of y.
Corr: Correlation.

TABLE 28.-- SIMULATION RESULTS: VARIABLE LABOR SUPPLIES

(i)	Cross-country Correlation of Consumption			
	els=0.1	els=0.5	els=1	els=2
$\sigma=1.1$.30 [.99]	.36 [.97]	.40 [.92]	.43 [.82]
$\sigma=2$.27 [.98]	.24 [.81]	.18 [.52]	.02 [.07]
$\sigma=3$.23 [.98]	.19 [.73]	.10 [.35]	-.09 [-.13]
$\sigma=5$.21 [.98]	.16 [.67]	.05 [.23]	-.17 [-.27]
$\sigma=7$.20 [.97]	.14 [.64]	.02 [.18]	-.20 [-.33]

(ii)	Correlation of Consumption and Hours Within the Same Country			
	els=0.1	els=0.5	els=1	els=2
$\sigma=1.1$.11 [.18]	.14 [.22]	.17 [.26]	.23 [.32]
$\sigma=2$.36 [.26]	.46 [.47]	.55 [.62]	.67 [.77]
$\sigma=3$.42 [.29]	.53 [.54]	.63 [.71]	.75 [.85]
$\sigma=5$.46 [.31]	.58 [.58]	.69 [.76]	.80 [.89]
$\sigma=7$.47 [.32]	.60 [.60]	.71 [.78]	.82 [.90]

NOTE: $\text{RHO}_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \text{V}_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$

$\beta(u)=0.99; (\partial\beta/\partial u)*(u/\beta)=-0.1; \gamma=0.0; \eta=0.35; d=0.025.$

$\text{els}=\sigma/(\sigma*\nu_2+(\sigma-1)*\nu_1)$ (elasticity of labor supply).

Numbers not in brackets: correlations in economy with incomplete asset markets.

Numbers in brackets: correlations in economy with complete asset markets.

REFERENCES

- Backus, D., Kehoe, P. & Kydland, F. (1989). International Borrowing and World Business Cycles. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Working Paper No. 426R.
- Backus, D. & Kehoe, P. (1989 a). International Evidence on Business Cycles; Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Working Paper No. 425.
- Backus, D. & Kehoe, P. (1989 b). International Evidence on the Historic Properties of Business Cycles. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Working Paper No. 402R.
- Barrionuevo, J. (1991). Asset Prices in the International Economy. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Baxter, M. & Crucini, M. (1989). Explaining Saving and Investment Correlations. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester.
- Beals, R. & Koopmans, T. (1969). Maximizing Stationary Utility in a Constant Technology. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 17: 1001-1015.
- Blanchard, O. & Kahn, Ch. (1980). The Solution of Linear Difference Models Under Rational Expectations. *Econometrica*, 48: 1305-1311.
- Calvo, G. & Findlay, R. (1987). On the Optimal Acquisition of Foreign Capital Through Investment of Oil Export Revenues. *Journal of International Economics*, 8: 513-524.
- Campbell, J. & Perron, P. (1991). Pitfalls and Opportunities: What Macroeconomists Should Know About Unit Roots. Paper presented at the Sixth Annual Conference on Macroeconomics, March 8 and 9, 1991, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Christiano, L. (1990). Linear-Quadratic Approximation and Value-Function Iteration: A Comparison. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 8, No.1: 99-113.
- Cochrane, J. (1991). A Critique of the Application of Unique Root Tests, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 15: 275-284.
- Cochrane, J. (1991). A Simple Test of Consumption Insurance, *Journal of Political Economy*, 99, No. 5: 957-976.
- Conze, A. & Scheinkman, J. (1990). Borrowing Constraints and International Comovements. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Crucini, M. (1989). A Two-Country Real Business Cycle Model. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester, manuscript.

- Cumby, R. & Huizinga, J. (1991). Investigating the Correlation of Unobserved Expectations: Expected Returns in Equity and Foreign Exchange Markets and Other Examples. Unpublished manuscript, Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago.
- Cumby, R. , Huizinga, J. & Obstfeld, M. (1983). Two-Step Two-Stage Least Squares Estimation in Models with Rational Expectations. *Journal of Econometrics*, 21: 333-355.
- Dellas, H. & Stockman , A. (1990). International Portfolio Nondiversification and Exchange Rate Variability. *Journal of International Economics*, 25: 271-289.
- Devereux, M. , Gregory, A. & Smith, G. (1990). Realistic Cross-Country Consumption Correlations in a Two-Country, Equilibrium, Business Cycle model. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, Queens University.
- Engle, C. & Granger, C. (1987). Co-integration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation and Testing. *Econometrica*, 55: 251-276.
- Epstein, L. (1987). A Simple Dynamic General Equilibrium Model. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 41: 68-95.
- Fisher, I. (1930). *The Theory of Interest*. New York: Macmillan
- Fisher, E. & Park, J. (1990). Testing Purchasing Power Parity Under The Null Hypothesis That Exchange Rates and Prices Are Co-Integrated. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, Cornell University.
- Fuller, W. (1976). *Introduction to Statistical Time Series*. New York: John Wiley.
- Hansen, L. (1982). Large Sample Properties of Generalized Methods of Moments Estimators. *Econometrica*, 50: 1029-1054.
- Hansen, L. & Singleton, K. (1983). Stochastic Consumption, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Asset Returns. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91: 249-265.
- Hodrick, R. & Prescott, E. (1980). Post-war US Business Cycles: An Empirical Investigation. Unpublished manuscript.
- Johansen, S. (1989). Likelihood Based Inference on Cointegration. Theory and Applications. Unpublished manuscript, University of Copenhagen.
- King, R., Plosser, C. & Rebelo, S. (1988). Production, Growth and Business Cycles, II. New directions. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 21: 309-341.

- King, R. , Plosser, Ch. & Rebelo, S. (1990). Production, Growth, and Business Cycles: Technical Appendix (Revised Version), Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester.
- Kravis, I., Heston, A. & Summers, R. (1982). World Product and Income, International Comparison of Real Gross Product. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Kravis, I. & Lipsey, R. (1983). Toward an Explanation of National Price Levels. Princeton Studies in International Finance, No.52, International Finance Section, Department of Economics, Princeton University.
- Kravis, I. & Lipsey, R. (1988). National Price Levels and the Prices of Tradables and Nontradables. American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings, 78, No.2: 474-478.
- Leme, P. (1984). Integration of International Capital Markets. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Lim, Youngjae (1990). Disentangling Permanent Income Hypothesis from Full Risk Sharing Hypothesis in a Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model: Where ICRISAT Data Are Located in the Spectrum of Hypotheses. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Lucas, R. & Stokey, N. (1984). Optimal Growth With Many Consumers. Journal of Economic Theory, 32: 139-171.
- Mace, B. (1991). Full Insurance in the Presence of Aggregate Uncertainty. Journal of Political Economy, 99, No. 5: 928-956.
- Mendoza, E. (1989 a). Real Business Cycles in A Small Open Economy: the Canadian Case. Research Report 8902, Economics Department, University of Western Ontario.
- Mendoza, E. (1989 b). Business Cycles, Adjustment Costs and the Theory of Investment in a Small Open Economy. Research Report 8906, Economics Department, University of Western Ontario.
- Neusser, Klaus (1991), Testing the Long-Run Implications of the Neoclassical Growth Model. Journal of Monetary Economics, 27: 3-37.
- Newey & West, K. (1987). A Simple, Positive Semi-Definite, Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix. Econometrica, 55: 703-708.
- Obstfeld, M. (1981). Capital Mobility and Devaluation in an Optimizing Model With Rational Expectations. American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings, 71: 217-221.

- Obstfeld, M. (1981 b). Macroeconomic Policy, Exchange Rate Dynamics, and Optimal Asset Accumulation. *Journal of Political Economy*, 89, No.6: 1142-1161.
- Obstfeld, M. (1989). How Integrated Are World Capital Markets? Some New Tests. In: *Debt, Stabilization and Development: Essays in Honor of Carlos Díaz-Alejandro*. Calvo, G., Findlay, R., & de Macedo, J. (eds.), New York: Harper & Row.
- Obstfeld, M. (1989). Intertemporal Dependence, Impatience, and Dynamics. Unpublished manuscript.
- Ogaki, M. (1988). Learning About Preferences From Time Trends, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago.
- Park, J.Y. (1990). Testing For Unit Roots and Cointegration by Variable Addition. *Advances in Econometrics*, 8: 107-133.
- Pencavel, John (1986). Labor Supply of Men: A Survey. In *Handbook of Labor Economics*. Ashenfelter, O. and Layard, R. (eds), New York: North Holland.
- Phillips, P. (1987). Time Series Regression With a Unit Root. *Econometrica*, 55: 277-301.
- Phillips, P. & Ouliaris (1990), S.: Asymptotic Properties of Residual Based Tests for Cointegration. *Econometrica*, 58: 165-193.
- Rebelo, S. (1988 a) : Heterogeneous agents economies. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester.
- Rebelo, S. (1988 b). Tractable Heterogeneity and Near Steady State Dynamics. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester.
- Rotemberg, J. & Woodford, M. (1989). Oligopolistic Pricing and the Effects of Aggregate Demand on Economic Activity. Working Paper No. 3206, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Said, S. & Dickey, D. (1985). Hypothesis Testing in ARIMA(p,1,q) Models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 80: 369-374.
- Sargent, T. (1987). *Macroeconomic Theory*, 2nd edition. Boston: Academic Press.
- Scheinkman, J. (1984). General Equilibrium Models of Economic Fluctuations. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Scheinkman, J. & Weiss, L. (1986). Borrowing Constraints and Aggregate Economic Activity. *Econometrica*, 54: 23-45.
- Schwert, G. (1987). Effects of Model Specification on Tests For Unit Roots in Macroeconomic Data. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 20: 73-103.

- Stockman, A. & Tesar, L. (1990). Tastes and Technology in a Two-Country Model of the Business Cycle: Explaining International Comovements. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Rochester.
- Townsend, R. (1989). Risk and Insurance in Village India. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Taylor, J. & Uhlig, H. (1990). Solving Nonlinear Stochastic Growth Models: A Comparison of Alternative Solution Methods. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 8, No.1: 1-17.
- Velu, R., Reinsel, G. & Wichern, D. (1986). Reduced Rank Models for Multiple Time Series. Biometrika, 73: 105-118.
- Woodford, M. (1986). Stationary Sunspot Equilibria: The Case of Small Fluctuations Around a Deterministic Steady State. Unpublished manuscript, Economics Department, University of Chicago.
- Yi, K. (1990). Essays on Government Spending, Real Exchange Rates and Net Exports. Ph.D. dissertation, Economics Department, University of Chicago.